# EXHIBIT 11

# Case 1:18-cv-02949-ARR-JAM Document 216-11 Filed 05/20/24 Page 2 of 10 PageID #: 10047

1	Volume I				
	Pages 1 to 102				
2	Exhibits None				
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
	EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK				
4					
_	X				
5	: SUSANNA MIRKIN and BORIS :				
6	MIRKIN, Individually and on :				
0	Behalf of All Others :				
7	Similarly Situated, : Civil Action				
,	Plaintiffs, : No. 18 Civ. 2949				
8	: (ARR) (RER)				
O	vs. :				
9	:				
	XOOM ENERGY, LLC; and XOOM :				
10	ENERGY NEW YORK, LLC, :				
	Defendants. :				
11	x				
12	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF DERYA ERYILMAZ,				
	Ph.D., a witness called by the Defendant, taken				
13	pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure,				
	before Alexander K. Loos, Registered Diplomate				
14	Reporter and Notary Public in and for the				
	Commonwealth of Massachusetts, at the Offices of				
15	Veritext Legal Solutions, 101 Arch Street, Suite				
	650, Boston, Massachusetts, on Tuesday, November 15,				
16	2022, commencing at 10:25 a.m.				
17	PRESENT:				
18	Wittels McInturff Palikovic				
1.0	(By Steven L. Wittels, Esq.; Steven D.				
19	Cohen, Esq. (Via videoconference); and				
2.0	Ethan D. Roman, Esq. (Via videoconference))				
20	E-mail: Slw@wittelslaw.com Sdc@wittelslaw.com				
21	Edr@wittelslaw.com				
Z	18 Half Mile Road				
22	Armonk, NY 10504				
23	914.775.8862				
24	for the Plaintiffs.				
	Page 1				

1 BY MR. MATTHEWS: 1 hour. And the cost is basically a more gross term. 2 2 Q. So what does a "big discrepancy" mean to So you take that cost, divide it by the you in that context? amount of usage, and you get a dollar per unit 3 3 MR. WITTELS: Objection to form. basis. So that is actually -- the cost is driving 4 5 THE WITNESS: If the XOOM's rates --5 the rate. charged rate is not very -- is not equal or very 6 So that means it shouldn't be far away from close to actual and estimated supply costs, then -what the cost is presented. All you do is you take then there's a discrepancy. that cost and convert it into a per-unit basis. So 8 9 BY MR. MATTHEWS: therefore what you have is an actual and estimated 10 10 cost is your main driver of what you obtain as a Q. I understand. 11 But I'm -- I'm trying to -- to get you to rate. So you transform into a rate, per-unit basis. 11 12 tell me --12 So that's why I am defining, when you say 13 "based on," it should be very close to the actual A. Uh-huh. 13 Q. -- what "very close to" means. and estimated supply costs, because that's driven 14 15 Does it mean 20 percent higher? by -- that's one of the key inputs to drive the MR. WITTELS: Objection. I think she's rate. 16 16 17 Q. Does "very close to" mean "equal to"? 17 told you. MR. WITTELS: Objection, asked and 18 THE WITNESS: So I guess -- let me step 18 19 back and explain. 19 answered. 20 So if it says "based on XOOM's actual and 20 BY MR. MATTHEWS: 21 estimated supply costs," then, you know, they 21 Q. On a per-dollar per-unit basis? 22 convert this into a rate, right? So XOOM's costs 22 MR. WITTELS: Objection. 23 should be not -- XOOM's rates should be very, very 23 THE WITNESS: As I mentioned, even if it's 24 close to -- when you're converting this into a rate, 24 not the actual equal, but it should be super close Page 38 Page 40 1 that should be very close to the actual and 1 to, very close to what the actual and estimated estimated supply costs that presented in their data 2 supply cost is. BY MR. MATTHEWS: 3 sets. 3 4 BY MR. MATTHEWS: 4 Q. Is that two percent more? Is it five 5 Q. Okay. If the rate was ten percent higher, percent more? 5 6 in your opinion, would that still be very close to 6 What does "very close to" mean to you? You 7 actual and estimated supply costs? 7 are a mathematician and a data analytics --A. No. Then that would be still there's --8 A. Sure. 8 9 Q. -- specialist. 9 that is -- that is still not the actual and 10 10 estimated supply cost. It's above that. So I would like to know, in your view, 11 Q. I understand. I'm not good at math, but I mathematically, how much more could the rate be than actual and estimated supply costs on a 12 know that. 13 But I'm asking -- you said it had to be dollar-per-unit basis and still fall within your 14 "very close to." And you distinguish that from 14 definition of "very close to." 15 being exactly equal to, right? 15 MR. WITTELS: Objection. Objection to 16 A. Uh-huh. 16 form. 17 Q. Do you agree with that? 17 THE WITNESS: I guess -- so as I mentioned MR. WITTELS: Objection. Objection to 18 that when you are transforming the rate from cost, 18 19 form. you are taking a lump sum and converted into a rate. 19 20 THE WITNESS: So -- okay. Let me explain 20 So then there's no, like, specific percentage I can 21 it this way: 21 tell you that it should be X percent higher. It 22 So rate is, like, dollar per megawatt hour, 22 should be based on whatever the cost is and you 23 right? So when we are charged by utilities or 23 convert that into a rate per-unit basis. 24 energy companies, we are charged dollar per megawatt 24 So that means you're taking the actual and Page 39 Page 41

## Case 1:18-cv-02949-ARR-JAM Document 216-11 Filed 05/20/24 Page 4 of 10 PageID #: 10049

1 estimated supply costs in order to derive your rate. 1 BY MR. MATTHEWS: 2 So that means there's no specific, you know, magic 2 Q. Okay. Thanks. 3 percentage that I can tell you that, even if it's 3 And in your opinion, "based on actual and 4 within that percentage, I can't tell you that. But 4 estimated supply costs" also means that XOOM cannot 5 that -- to me, when it's based on you take a value 5 consider anything other than actual and estimated 6 and convert that into a per-unit basis, then you supply costs when setting its rates, correct? 7 take that actual and estimated supply cost as it is, 7 A. Again, I would look at the contract. It 8 and then you convert that into a rate. 8 says: 9 BY MR. MATTHEWS: 9 "... based on actual and estimated 10 Q. Uh-huh. 10 supply costs, which may include, but not A. So that's how you would do it. And that's 11 11 limited to prior period adjustments" --12 why it should be very close to, I guess. 12 THE REPORTER: "Which may include, but Q. And that's the calculation you did under 13 13 not..." 14 model one, right? 14 MR. WITTELS: Slow down so he can take --15 A. That's -- that's correct. 15 the reporter has to take it. Q. And you are going to tell the ladies and 16 16 THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry. 17 gentlemen of the jury that if the rate charged was 17 Which -- I guess: 18 anything above the supply costs under that "... which may include, but not be 18 19 calculation, that XOOM should have to give that 19 limited to prior period adjustments, 20 money back, right? 20 inventory and balancing costs." 21 A. Yes. So to your question, it may include these, 21 22 Q. Okay. Which would mean that, in your view, 22 but -- may include these, but other than that, yes, 23 "based on actual and estimated supply costs" under 23 it should be based on actual and estimated supply 24 model one means equal to supply costs --24 costs. Page 42 Page 44 1 MR. WITTELS: Objection. 1 BY MR. MATTHEWS: 2 BY MR. MATTHEWS: 2 Q. And nothing else? 3 Q. -- right? 3 A. Uh-uh. No. 4 MR. WITTELS: Objection. 4 O. Correct? 5 THE WITNESS: It's -- I guess exact equal 5 A. Correct. 6 to definition is -- doesn't fit here because, as I Q. Okay. Let me hand you what has previously 7 mentioned, rate is a transformation of cost. 7 been marked as Exhibit 5 to -- which is a copy of 8 So what -- essentially I'm taking this 8 the --9 actual and estimated supply costs and converting 9 A. Complaint. 10 into a rate. That's why it cannot be 1:1 equal, but 10 Q. -- first amended class action complaint. 11 it has to be very close to what is presented in the 11 And I also hand you what was previously marked as 12 actual cost. 12 Exhibit 6, which is an exhibit to that class action 13 complaint --13 BY MR. MATTHEWS: Q. It's effectively 1:1 equal, right? That's 14 14 A. Right. 15 what model one seeks to do --15 Q. -- called "market supply cost build up." Have you seen these documents before? 16 MR. WITTELS: Objection. 16 17 BY MR. MATTHEWS: 17 Q. Did you prepare the market supply cost 18 Q. -- right? 18 19 MR. WITTELS: Please don't interrupt her. 19 build up that is Exhibit 6? 20 Objection. 20 A. This one? No. I was not part of this case 21 THE WITNESS: Model one takes the 21 at the time. 22 discrepancy that we found in the rate versus the 22 Q. Okay. There we go. 23 XOOM's actual and estimated supply costs. That's 23 And you would disagree with -- let me --24 correct. 24 let me find the paragraph. Page 43 Page 45

## Case 1:18-cv-02949-ARR-JAM Document 216-11 Filed 05/20/24 Page 5 of 10 PageID #: 10050

- 1 A. That is correct, yes.
- 2 Q. But when you did that, you took out the
- 3 margin, right, for model one?
- 4 A. For model one, yes.
- 5 By looking at the contract, it says it
- 6 should be based on actual and estimated supply
- 7 costs. So we prepared method one to show that,
- 8 whether it's based on actual and estimated supply
- 9 costs.
- 10 Q. You had the contract at the time that the
- 11 market supply cost build up was prepared, right?
- 12 A. As I mentioned, I was not part of the case.
- 13 Q. CRA did.
- 14 A. CRA was part of it. But when this was
- 15 prepared, I didn't review this. So, I mean, I don't
- 16 know if that's your question. I reviewed this once
- 17 I was involved with this case.
- 18 Q. Was it your decision, personally, to remove
- 19 margin from any sort of calculation of overcharge?
- 20 A. It was our -- you know, Seabron and I have
- 21 decided to build model one based on what the
- 22 contract says that would be the appropriate method.
- 23 But if we would -- we anticipated, you know, the
- 24 court may say a margin is appropriate for this case.

Page 50

- 1 and they would assume it would be based on actual
- 2 and estimated supply costs.
- 3 But an average customer wouldn't know what
- 4 a "supply cost" means, but it's an
- 5 energy-industry-specific terminology. So that's
- 6 what they -- from what they read, they would think
- 7 that they would be based on actual and estimated
- 8 supply costs, and they would -- that's what they
- 9 would be charged at.
- 10 BY MR. MATTHEWS:
- 11 Q. And you think an average customer -- I'm
- 12 focused on the phrase "based on" -- would think that
- 13 "based on" means equal to?
- MR. WITTELS: Objection. It's about ten
- 15 times you've asked it.
- 16 THE WITNESS: As I mentioned before, I
- 17 think "based on" in this context is we are having a
- 18 cost, converting into a rate, so it should be very
- 19 close to -- to the -- to the actual and estimated
- 20 supply costs.
- 21 BY MR. MATTHEWS:
- 22 Q. Converting it directly into a rate --
  - A. Rate.

23

Q. -- with no margin added, correct?

Page 52

- 1 Q. Uh-huh.
- 2 A. Then we came up with an alternative method
- 3 to show what the overcharges would look like if
- 4 there was a margin considered.
- 5 Q. Uh-huh.
- 6 A. So that's the approach that we presented in 7 method two.
- 8 Q. Uh-huh.
- 9 But you believe, looking back at Exhibit 2,
- 10 the contract terms --
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. -- that an average customer would read the
- 13 pricing provision that's listed in the box at the
- 14 top of the document?
- 15 A. Uh-huh.
- 16 \*Q. And would conclude that they are going to
- 17 get electricity from XOOM at cost?
- MR. WITTELS: Can you repeat the question?
- 19 Read back the question, please.
- 20 \*(Record read)
- 21 MR. WITTELS: Objection.
- THE WITNESS: Well, the customer will get
- 23 this agreement; and they would read that it would be
- 24 based on XOOM's actual and estimated supply costs, Page 51

- 1 A. Yes. No margin, because it's not listed in 2 this.
- 3 Q. You think that's what an average customer
- 4 would believe reading that language?
- 5 A. In this context, in this contract, they
- 6 would read this and think that it would be based on
- 7 actual and estimated supply costs.
- 8 MR. WITTELS: Why are you laughing? You
- 9 can go to the court and laugh, too. I don't think
- 10 you should be laughing at witnesses.
- 11 MR. MATTHEWS: If this is the opinion that
- 12 they want to present in this case that "based on"
- 13 means equal to, they should say so. I don't know
- 14 why that's difficult if that is the opinion that
- 15 they're advancing.
- MR. WITTELS: I think she's asked it --
- 17 answered your questions appropriately, and I don't
- 18 know why you're laughing. But we'll see what the
- 19 court thinks.
- 20 BY MR. MATTHEWS:
- Q. Dr. Eryilmaz, you believe that an average
- 22 customer would read that language "based on actual
- 23 and estimated supply costs" and would believe that
- 24 XOOM's rate would be a direct conversion of its

Page 53

## Case 1:18-cv-02949-ARR-JAM Document 216-11 Filed 05/20/24 Page 6 of 10 PageID #: 10051

you mean by that? 1 MR. WITTELS: Objection. 1 2 2 Q. Okay. You're not familiar with that THE WITNESS: I don't know, should or not. concept in the -- in the retail energy world? 3 BY MR. MATTHEWS: A. No. I have not worked with indexed rate 4 Q. Well --5 data. 5 MR. WITTELS: Let her answer. 6 Q. Whether you've worked with indexed rate 6 THE WITNESS: Um. data or you haven't, you have no understanding of 7 MR. MATTHEWS: I didn't mean to cut you what an indexed rate is? 8 off. 8 A. If you define -- if you give me the 9 MR. WITTELS: You cut her off. 9 10 definition, I might understand, but I don't know. 10 MR. MATTHEWS: Well, you're 11 Q. Well, I'm not trying to -characterizing it that way, but --11 12 A. I don't know. 12 MR. WITTELS: You started talking when she 13 Q. -- force an understanding on you. 13 was --14 You don't have an understanding --14 MR. MATTHEWS: Well, let me ask. 15 A. No, I don't. 15 Q. Do you have more to say? Q. -- of what an indexed rate is? Please go ahead. 16 16 17 A. Uh-uh. 17 A. I mean, to your question, I don't know if 18 Q. Is -- how many cases -- let me back up. they lose money or they should lose money. 18 19 You've been retained as a potential 19 There was a contract, and it says that the 20 testifying expert witness in this case --20 rate is based on actual and estimated supply costs. 21 A. Right. And based on this contract, that's the rates that --21 22 should be based on that. Q. -- correct? 22 23 And have you been retained as a potential 23 And if -- margin is not listed here, so, 24 testifying expert in other cases before? 24 therefore, this is the way they formed their Page 62 Page 64 1 A. No. 1 contract, and that's the rate should be. 2 Q. This is the first? 2 Q. Okay. We talked about under model one --3 A. This is the first one. 3 A. Uh-huh. 4 Q. You understand that XOOM's a for-profit 4 Q. -- the supply cost that was used in that business in a deregulated, or semi-deregulated, calculation is the -- the total cost column that competitive market, right? 6 came from the rate-setting workbooks, right? 7 A. Yes. 7 A. Right. 8 MR. WITTELS: Objection. 8 Q. And you understand that XOOM, and other 9 BY MR. MATTHEWS: ESCOs, have certain fixed costs that are not part of 10 Q. Why doesn't XOOM get to seek a profit under those supply costs, correct? 11 this sales contract? 11 A. Correct. Fixed costs are not considered a A. The sales contract did not mention margin 12 12 supply cost. 13 in their statement, so that's why. 13 Supply costs are pretty specific, and fixed 14 Q. So, in your opinion, XOOM just entered into 14 costs, every business may have fixed cost. It's 15 a bad contract? normal to have a fixed cost as a business. 15 A. Yes. I mean, they are -- I guess they Q. And you've reviewed XOOM witness testimony 16 17 are -- the way they framed what their rates are 17 that XOOM has fixed costs that are not included in 18 going to be based on is these factors, and margin is 18 the supply cost reported in the rate-setting 19 not listed here, so... 19 workbook, right? Q. And, in your view, that will result in XOOM 20 20 A. I don't remember the specific person or the 21 losing money? 21 witness, but I remember that he testified -- he --22 MR. WITTELS: Objection. 22 his transcript included a definition for a fixed 23 BY MR. MATTHEWS: 23 cost, I think. It was Loehde or -- I don't 24 Q. Or should result in XOOM losing money? 24 remember. Page 65

1 THE REPORTER: It was what? 1 said? 2 2 THE WITNESS: It was Loehde. I don't MR. WITTELS: Objection. 3 remember the witness' last name. Sorry. 3 THE WITNESS: I guess I thought you were BY MR. MATTHEWS: saying that supply costs are -- you know, may -- you 4 5 Q. But you have some recollection -- I'm not know, I don't know. 6 asking you to identify the witness, but you have I guess the way I understand it from what 6 some recollection of XOOM witnesses testifying to you've described is have I considered supply cost 8 the fact that XOOM has fixed costs that are not plus the other costs? But I did not. I just took 9 included in the supply costs reported in the the components of the supply cost, and I added them 10 rate-setting workbooks, right? up -- I mean they were all, like, in a total supply A. I remember, like, a witness defining a column -- and calculated the excess -- excess 11 11 12 fixed cost; but I don't really remember, like, 12 charges. 13 whether it was included in the supply costs or not 13 Q. Understood. 14 at this moment. I mean, if it's in front of me, I 14 So if fixed costs -- if XOOM has fixed 15 can take a look at it, but I don't remember the costs in addition to the reported supply costs --15 16 specific wording. A. Uh-huh. 16 Q. When you prepared the calculation for model 17 Q. -- then the only place that those fixed 17 costs appear in your calculation under model one 18 one, did you take into account XOOM's fixed costs? A. No. I actually just took the supply cost 19 would be in the overcharge itself? 20 related information and calculated the actual and 20 MR. WITTELS: Objection. estimated supply costs as produced by XOOM. 21 BY MR. MATTHEWS: 22 Q. So if XOOM did not include fixed costs in 22 Q. Correct? 23 23 the supply costs reported in the rate-setting MR. WITTELS: Objection. 24 workbook --24 THE WITNESS: I mean, I cannot tell from Page 68 Page 66 1 A. Uh-huh. 1 just looking at the data what that excess charge is 2 Q. -- then those fixed costs would be included included. They do not define -- XOOM did not define 3 in what you have characterized as an "overcharge" where that excess charge came from. 4 under model one, right? 4 There was no calculation providing that, 5 MR. WITTELS: Objection. that included the fixed cost. They -- there was no THE WITNESS: As I mentioned, those fixed 6 6 calculation that showed that rate included fixed costs are not considered as part of supply costs; 7 costs as I reviewed the data. The data came in as therefore, they are not included in the model. rate versus the total cost of the components of the 9 BY MR. MATTHEWS: supply cost, or the total cost, and then the 10 Q. They're not --10 difference. I cannot tell what is included in the A. Included my calculation. I only considered 11 discrepancy. It was not described in the data. 11 12 supply -- the components of the supply cost. BY MR. MATTHEWS: 12 13 Q. They would not be included in the supply 13 Q. Okay. Model two. 14 cost portion of your calculation, correct? 14 A. Uh-huh. 15 MR. WITTELS: Objection. 15 Q. Model two, as we covered earlier, is 16 THE WITNESS: Again, I only considered the essentially the same as model one, except that it 17 supply cost components. Because, you know, we are 17 allows XOOM to recover the same gross margin on 18 interested in looking at the supply costs and what variable-rate customers that XOOM's documents 19 the rate has been charged by XOOM. 19 reported it recovered for fixed-rate customers, 20 BY MR. MATTHEWS: 20 right? 21 O. Yeah. 21 A. Right. A. So anything beyond supply cost is not 22 22 Again, we -- exactly. The method one plus, 23 included in the supply cost column. 23 if there was a margin -- you know, there's -- it 24 Q. Okay. How is that different from what I 24 doesn't say in the contract that there should be a

Page 69

Page 67

## Case 1:18-cv-02949-ARR-JAM Document 216-11 Filed 05/20/24 Page 8 of 10 PageID #: 10053

١.			DVI I D A CATTONICO
	margin.	1	BY MR. MATTHEWS:
2	But if suppose there is a margin. We	2	Q. If if an ESCO does not specify in a
3	basically provided that as an example to show, you	3	variable-rate contract that the rate will include
4	know, what would be the charge look like.	4	margin, then, in your view, that ESCO cannot seek to
5	And looking at the margin reports, at least	5	recover margin
6	the margin that should be charged should be equal to	6	MR. WITTELS: Objection.
7	the fixed-rate customers, which, you know, XOOM has	7	BY MR. MATTHEWS:
8	made you know, has charged its fixed-rate	8	Q on its variable rate?
9	customers, too, a margin. So there was that rate	9	MR. WITTELS: She didn't say that.
10	taken to calculate the overcharges.	10	Objection.
11	Q. And the purpose of of illustrating that	11	THE WITNESS: Is that a hypothetical
12	second model was in case the court or the jury	12	example that are we just talking about X ESCO
13	decides that some recovery of margin is	13	here, or
14	appropriate	14	BY MR. MATTHEWS:
15	A. Yes.	15	Q. Yes.
16	Q right?	16	A. Is that a hypothetical example?
17	But you don't believe that that reading of	17	Q. Yes.
18	the contract is appropriate, right?	18	A. If again, I mean, I am looking at this
19	A. No. By the read of the contract, it didn't	19	contract. If another X ESCO was giving me a
20	specify margin, this contract, so and that's why	20	contract that doesn't list the margin, yes, then I
21	I don't believe, yes.	21	would be looking at the specifics that they have put
22	Q. So, in your view, if a contract doesn't	22	in the contract to determine their rates.
23	specify that the company will recover margin, then	23	Q. Understood. Thank you.
24	the company is not allowed to recover margin?	24	In connection with this case, you have not
	Page 70		Page 72
1	MD WITTELD OI' '		
1	MR. WITTELS: Objection.	1	done any analysis of how XOOM's variable rates
2	THE WITNESS: I mean, this is I mean,		done any analysis of how XOOM's variable rates compared to the utilities' rates, correct?
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
2	THE WITNESS: I mean, this is I mean,	2	compared to the utilities' rates, correct?  A. We I think Figure 1 in our report does
2 3	THE WITNESS: I mean, this is I mean, I'm not a contract expert, but its contract it says it should be charging what it says in the	3	compared to the utilities' rates, correct?  A. We I think Figure 1 in our report does
2 3 4	THE WITNESS: I mean, this is I mean, I'm not a contract expert, but its contract it says it should be charging what it says in the contract. As I mean, you know, if what	2 3 4 5	compared to the utilities' rates, correct?  A. We I think Figure 1 in our report does present a like an illustration or like
2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: I mean, this is I mean, I'm not a contract expert, but its contract it says it should be charging what it says in the contract. As I mean, you know, if what happens, for example, if a customer doesn't, you	2 3 4 5	compared to the utilities' rates, correct?  A. We I think Figure 1 in our report does present a like an illustration or like presents the data that shows the total cost versus the utility rate.
2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: I mean, this is I mean, I'm not a contract expert, but its contract it says it should be charging what it says in the contract. As I mean, you know, if what happens, for example, if a customer doesn't, you know, oblige with their contract? There's	2 3 4 5 6 7	compared to the utilities' rates, correct?  A. We I think Figure 1 in our report does present a like an illustration or like presents the data that shows the total cost versus the utility rate.  Q. Shows how the two moved over the same
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: I mean, this is I mean, I'm not a contract expert, but its contract it says it should be charging what it says in the contract. As I mean, you know, if what happens, for example, if a customer doesn't, you know, oblige with their contract? There's implications for that, too. So if it doesn't say in	2 3 4 5 6 7	compared to the utilities' rates, correct?  A. We I think Figure 1 in our report does present a like an illustration or like presents the data that shows the total cost versus the utility rate.  Q. Shows how the two moved over the same period of time?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: I mean, this is I mean. I'm not a contract expert, but its contract it says it should be charging what it says in the contract. As I mean, you know, if what happens, for example, if a customer doesn't, you know, oblige with their contract? There's implications for that, too. So if it doesn't say in the contract, yes, that shouldn't be charged.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	compared to the utilities' rates, correct?  A. We I think Figure 1 in our report does present a like an illustration or like presents the data that shows the total cost versus the utility rate.  Q. Shows how the two moved over the same period of time?  A. Exactly.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: I mean, this is I mean, I'm not a contract expert, but its contract it says it should be charging what it says in the contract. As I mean, you know, if what happens, for example, if a customer doesn't, you know, oblige with their contract? There's implications for that, too. So if it doesn't say in the contract, yes, that shouldn't be charged.  BY MR. MATTHEWS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	compared to the utilities' rates, correct?  A. We I think Figure 1 in our report does present a like an illustration or like presents the data that shows the total cost versus the utility rate.  Q. Shows how the two moved over the same period of time?  A. Exactly.  Q. Okay. But you're not offering an opinion
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE WITNESS: I mean, this is I mean.  I'm not a contract expert, but its contract it says it should be charging what it says in the contract. As I mean, you know, if what happens, for example, if a customer doesn't, you know, oblige with their contract? There's implications for that, too. So if it doesn't say in the contract, yes, that shouldn't be charged.  BY MR. MATTHEWS:  Q. If it doesn't specify a margin, it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	compared to the utilities' rates, correct?  A. We I think Figure 1 in our report does present a like an illustration or like presents the data that shows the total cost versus the utility rate.  Q. Shows how the two moved over the same period of time?  A. Exactly.  Q. Okay. But you're not offering an opinion in this case that under the sales agreement, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: I mean, this is I mean. I'm not a contract expert, but its contract it says it should be charging what it says in the contract. As I mean, you know, if what happens, for example, if a customer doesn't, you know, oblige with their contract? There's implications for that, too. So if it doesn't say in the contract, yes, that shouldn't be charged.  BY MR. MATTHEWS: Q. If it doesn't specify a margin, it shouldn't be charged?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	compared to the utilities' rates, correct?  A. We I think Figure 1 in our report does present a like an illustration or like presents the data that shows the total cost versus the utility rate.  Q. Shows how the two moved over the same period of time?  A. Exactly.  Q. Okay. But you're not offering an opinion in this case that under the sales agreement, that XOOM was not permitted to charge more than the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: I mean, this is I mean.  I'm not a contract expert, but its contract it says it should be charging what it says in the contract. As I mean, you know, if what happens, for example, if a customer doesn't, you know, oblige with their contract? There's implications for that, too. So if it doesn't say in the contract, yes, that shouldn't be charged.  BY MR. MATTHEWS:  Q. If it doesn't specify a margin, it shouldn't be charged?  MR. WITTELS: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	compared to the utilities' rates, correct?  A. We I think Figure 1 in our report does present a like an illustration or like presents the data that shows the total cost versus the utility rate.  Q. Shows how the two moved over the same period of time?  A. Exactly.  Q. Okay. But you're not offering an opinion in this case that under the sales agreement, that XOOM was not permitted to charge more than the utility, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: I mean, this is I mean, I'm not a contract expert, but its contract it says it should be charging what it says in the contract. As I mean, you know, if what happens, for example, if a customer doesn't, you know, oblige with their contract? There's implications for that, too. So if it doesn't say in the contract, yes, that shouldn't be charged.  BY MR. MATTHEWS: Q. If it doesn't specify a margin, it shouldn't be charged?  MR. WITTELS: Objection.  THE WITNESS: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	compared to the utilities' rates, correct?  A. We I think Figure 1 in our report does present a like an illustration or like presents the data that shows the total cost versus the utility rate.  Q. Shows how the two moved over the same period of time?  A. Exactly.  Q. Okay. But you're not offering an opinion in this case that under the sales agreement, that XOOM was not permitted to charge more than the utility, right?  MR. WITTELS: Objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: I mean, this is I mean. I'm not a contract expert, but its contract it says it should be charging what it says in the contract. As I mean, you know, if what happens, for example, if a customer doesn't, you know, oblige with their contract? There's implications for that, too. So if it doesn't say in the contract, yes, that shouldn't be charged.  BY MR. MATTHEWS: Q. If it doesn't specify a margin, it shouldn't be charged?  MR. WITTELS: Objection.  THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. MATTHEWS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	compared to the utilities' rates, correct?  A. We I think Figure 1 in our report does present a like an illustration or like presents the data that shows the total cost versus the utility rate.  Q. Shows how the two moved over the same period of time?  A. Exactly.  Q. Okay. But you're not offering an opinion in this case that under the sales agreement, that XOOM was not permitted to charge more than the utility, right?  MR. WITTELS: Objection.  THE WITNESS: No. I mean, XOOM I mean
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: I mean, this is I mean.  I'm not a contract expert, but its contract it says it should be charging what it says in the contract. As I mean, you know, if what happens, for example, if a customer doesn't, you know, oblige with their contract? There's implications for that, too. So if it doesn't say in the contract, yes, that shouldn't be charged.  BY MR. MATTHEWS:  Q. If it doesn't specify a margin, it shouldn't be charged?  MR. WITTELS: Objection.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  BY MR. MATTHEWS:  Q. And that's to be clear, that's your view	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	compared to the utilities' rates, correct?  A. We I think Figure 1 in our report does present a like an illustration or like presents the data that shows the total cost versus the utility rate.  Q. Shows how the two moved over the same period of time?  A. Exactly.  Q. Okay. But you're not offering an opinion in this case that under the sales agreement, that XOOM was not permitted to charge more than the utility, right?  MR. WITTELS: Objection.  THE WITNESS: No. I mean, XOOM I mean our basis was the actual and estimated supply cost,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: I mean, this is I mean.  I'm not a contract expert, but its contract it says it should be charging what it says in the contract. As I mean, you know, if what happens, for example, if a customer doesn't, you know, oblige with their contract? There's implications for that, too. So if it doesn't say in the contract, yes, that shouldn't be charged.  BY MR. MATTHEWS:  Q. If it doesn't specify a margin, it shouldn't be charged?  MR. WITTELS: Objection.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  BY MR. MATTHEWS:  Q. And that's to be clear, that's your view more broadly? I'm not just asking about this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	compared to the utilities' rates, correct?  A. We I think Figure 1 in our report does present a like an illustration or like presents the data that shows the total cost versus the utility rate.  Q. Shows how the two moved over the same period of time?  A. Exactly.  Q. Okay. But you're not offering an opinion in this case that under the sales agreement, that XOOM was not permitted to charge more than the utility, right?  MR. WITTELS: Objection.  THE WITNESS: No. I mean, XOOM I mean our basis was the actual and estimated supply cost, whatever it should be charging.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: I mean, this is I mean.  I'm not a contract expert, but its contract it says it should be charging what it says in the contract. As I mean, you know, if what happens, for example, if a customer doesn't, you know, oblige with their contract? There's implications for that, too. So if it doesn't say in the contract, yes, that shouldn't be charged.  BY MR. MATTHEWS:  Q. If it doesn't specify a margin, it shouldn't be charged?  MR. WITTELS: Objection.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  BY MR. MATTHEWS:  Q. And that's to be clear, that's your view more broadly? I'm not just asking about this specific XOOM contract	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	compared to the utilities' rates, correct?  A. We I think Figure 1 in our report does present a like an illustration or like presents the data that shows the total cost versus the utility rate.  Q. Shows how the two moved over the same period of time?  A. Exactly.  Q. Okay. But you're not offering an opinion in this case that under the sales agreement, that XOOM was not permitted to charge more than the utility, right?  MR. WITTELS: Objection.  THE WITNESS: No. I mean, XOOM I mean our basis was the actual and estimated supply cost, whatever it should be charging.  BY MR. MATTHEWS:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE WITNESS: I mean, this is I mean.  I'm not a contract expert, but its contract it says it should be charging what it says in the contract. As I mean, you know, if what happens, for example, if a customer doesn't, you know, oblige with their contract? There's implications for that, too. So if it doesn't say in the contract, yes, that shouldn't be charged.  BY MR. MATTHEWS:  Q. If it doesn't specify a margin, it shouldn't be charged?  MR. WITTELS: Objection.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  BY MR. MATTHEWS:  Q. And that's to be clear, that's your view more broadly? I'm not just asking about this specific XOOM contract  A. Uh-huh.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	compared to the utilities' rates, correct?  A. We I think Figure 1 in our report does present a like an illustration or like presents the data that shows the total cost versus the utility rate.  Q. Shows how the two moved over the same period of time?  A. Exactly.  Q. Okay. But you're not offering an opinion in this case that under the sales agreement, that XOOM was not permitted to charge more than the utility, right?  MR. WITTELS: Objection.  THE WITNESS: No. I mean, XOOM I mean our basis was the actual and estimated supply cost, whatever it should be charging.  BY MR. MATTHEWS:  Q. And the utility's rate is irrelevant to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: I mean, this is I mean. I'm not a contract expert, but its contract it says it should be charging what it says in the contract. As I mean, you know, if what happens, for example, if a customer doesn't, you know, oblige with their contract? There's implications for that, too. So if it doesn't say in the contract, yes, that shouldn't be charged.  BY MR. MATTHEWS: Q. If it doesn't specify a margin, it shouldn't be charged?  MR. WITTELS: Objection.  THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. MATTHEWS: Q. And that's to be clear, that's your view more broadly? I'm not just asking about this specific XOOM contract A. Uh-huh. Q correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	compared to the utilities' rates, correct?  A. We I think Figure 1 in our report does present a like an illustration or like presents the data that shows the total cost versus the utility rate.  Q. Shows how the two moved over the same period of time?  A. Exactly.  Q. Okay. But you're not offering an opinion in this case that under the sales agreement, that XOOM was not permitted to charge more than the utility, right?  MR. WITTELS: Objection.  THE WITNESS: No. I mean, XOOM I mean our basis was the actual and estimated supply cost, whatever it should be charging.  BY MR. MATTHEWS:  Q. And the utility's rate is irrelevant to that consideration, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: I mean, this is I mean.  I'm not a contract expert, but its contract it says it should be charging what it says in the contract. As I mean, you know, if what happens, for example, if a customer doesn't, you know, oblige with their contract? There's implications for that, too. So if it doesn't say in the contract, yes, that shouldn't be charged.  BY MR. MATTHEWS:  Q. If it doesn't specify a margin, it shouldn't be charged?  MR. WITTELS: Objection.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  BY MR. MATTHEWS:  Q. And that's to be clear, that's your view more broadly? I'm not just asking about this specific XOOM contract  A. Uh-huh.  Q correct?  MR. WITTELS: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	compared to the utilities' rates, correct?  A. We I think Figure 1 in our report does present a like an illustration or like presents the data that shows the total cost versus the utility rate.  Q. Shows how the two moved over the same period of time?  A. Exactly.  Q. Okay. But you're not offering an opinion in this case that under the sales agreement, that XOOM was not permitted to charge more than the utility, right?  MR. WITTELS: Objection.  THE WITNESS: No. I mean, XOOM I mean our basis was the actual and estimated supply cost, whatever it should be charging.  BY MR. MATTHEWS:  Q. And the utility's rate is irrelevant to that consideration, right?  MR. WITTELS: Objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: I mean, this is I mean.  I'm not a contract expert, but its contract it says it should be charging what it says in the contract. As I mean, you know, if what happens, for example, if a customer doesn't, you know, oblige with their contract? There's implications for that, too. So if it doesn't say in the contract, yes, that shouldn't be charged.  BY MR. MATTHEWS:  Q. If it doesn't specify a margin, it shouldn't be charged?  MR. WITTELS: Objection.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  BY MR. MATTHEWS:  Q. And that's to be clear, that's your view more broadly? I'm not just asking about this specific XOOM contract  A. Uh-huh.  Q correct?  MR. WITTELS: Objection.  THE WITNESS: I guess what's the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	compared to the utilities' rates, correct?  A. We I think Figure 1 in our report does present a like an illustration or like presents the data that shows the total cost versus the utility rate.  Q. Shows how the two moved over the same period of time?  A. Exactly.  Q. Okay. But you're not offering an opinion in this case that under the sales agreement, that XOOM was not permitted to charge more than the utility, right?  MR. WITTELS: Objection.  THE WITNESS: No. I mean, XOOM I mean our basis was the actual and estimated supply cost, whatever it should be charging.  BY MR. MATTHEWS:  Q. And the utility's rate is irrelevant to that consideration, right?  MR. WITTELS: Objection.  THE WITNESS: It is irrelevant for this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: I mean, this is I mean.  I'm not a contract expert, but its contract it says it should be charging what it says in the contract. As I mean, you know, if what happens, for example, if a customer doesn't, you know, oblige with their contract? There's implications for that, too. So if it doesn't say in the contract, yes, that shouldn't be charged.  BY MR. MATTHEWS:  Q. If it doesn't specify a margin, it shouldn't be charged?  MR. WITTELS: Objection.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  BY MR. MATTHEWS:  Q. And that's to be clear, that's your view more broadly? I'm not just asking about this specific XOOM contract  A. Uh-huh.  Q correct?  MR. WITTELS: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	compared to the utilities' rates, correct?  A. We I think Figure 1 in our report does present a like an illustration or like presents the data that shows the total cost versus the utility rate.  Q. Shows how the two moved over the same period of time?  A. Exactly.  Q. Okay. But you're not offering an opinion in this case that under the sales agreement, that XOOM was not permitted to charge more than the utility, right?  MR. WITTELS: Objection.  THE WITNESS: No. I mean, XOOM I mean our basis was the actual and estimated supply cost, whatever it should be charging.  BY MR. MATTHEWS:  Q. And the utility's rate is irrelevant to that consideration, right?  MR. WITTELS: Objection.  THE WITNESS: It is irrelevant for this contract. But we showed you know, our figure
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: I mean, this is I mean.  I'm not a contract expert, but its contract it says it should be charging what it says in the contract. As I mean, you know, if what happens, for example, if a customer doesn't, you know, oblige with their contract? There's implications for that, too. So if it doesn't say in the contract, yes, that shouldn't be charged.  BY MR. MATTHEWS:  Q. If it doesn't specify a margin, it shouldn't be charged?  MR. WITTELS: Objection.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  BY MR. MATTHEWS:  Q. And that's to be clear, that's your view more broadly? I'm not just asking about this specific XOOM contract  A. Uh-huh.  Q correct?  MR. WITTELS: Objection.  THE WITNESS: I guess what's the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	compared to the utilities' rates, correct?  A. We I think Figure 1 in our report does present a like an illustration or like presents the data that shows the total cost versus the utility rate.  Q. Shows how the two moved over the same period of time?  A. Exactly.  Q. Okay. But you're not offering an opinion in this case that under the sales agreement, that XOOM was not permitted to charge more than the utility, right?  MR. WITTELS: Objection.  THE WITNESS: No. I mean, XOOM I mean our basis was the actual and estimated supply cost, whatever it should be charging.  BY MR. MATTHEWS:  Q. And the utility's rate is irrelevant to that consideration, right?  MR. WITTELS: Objection.  THE WITNESS: It is irrelevant for this

## Case 1:18-cv-02949-ARR-JAM Document 216-11 Filed 05/20/24 Page 9 of 10 PageID #: 10054

1 utility rates, how they compare. We presented that 1 they had just stayed with the utility, right? 2 in our report. 2 MR. WITTELS: Objection. BY MR. MATTHEWS: 3 3 THE WITNESS: I guess that doesn't -- I O. Yes. But the XOOM -- that's XOOM's 4 mean, it's not to show that whether they better off, 4 5 reported supply costs compared to the utility's 5 but it shows -- if the utility rate was charged to rate, right? these customers --7 A. Utility, yeah. BY MR. MATTHEWS: Q. But you don't believe that a comparison of 8 8 Q. Uh-huh. XOOM's rate to the utility's rate is relevant here? 9 A. -- against the rate that was -- that XOOM 10 MR. WITTELS: Objection. was charging, that would be slightly lower because, 11 BY MR. MATTHEWS: you know, the utility's rates were slightly higher 12 Q. Right? 12 than the total cost that's presented in the XOOM's 13 A. No. I guess we -- we -- we used that rate-setting workbooks. 13 14 Figure 1 to show what the utility in that territory 14 Q. Right. I understand the purpose for which 15 charged and what that compares to the total cost 15 it was shown in the report. 16 of -- of the -- of XOOM. 16 A. Uh-huh. 17 Q. But you're not offering any sort of damage 17 Q. I'm asking factually, if the court awarded 18 model that seeks to compare XOOM's rate to the the damages that you have advocated for under model 19 utility's rate, right? 19 one, is it true that XOOM's variable-rate customers 20 MR. WITTELS: Objection. would recoup more money than they would under the 21 THE WITNESS: We provided a cross-check 21 damage model that you've illustrated using the 22 analysis on, you know, what would the overcharges 22 utility rate? 23 look like if XOOM has charged utility rates instead 23 MR. WITTELS: Objection. 24 24 of, you know, its total cost. So that's the THE WITNESS: When you say "recoup more Page 74 Page 76 1 cross-check analysis that we did in our report that 1 money," is that like -- clearly, the calculation 2 yielded a little bit lower damages, I think 49 shows that utilities -- that the value that goes 3 million, about that. down to 49 million because utility's rate -- rates 3 were slightly higher than the total cost. So the 4 BY MR. MATTHEWS: 4 5 Q. Uh-huh. damages would be slightly lower than the method one. 5 49 million under that model instead of 55 6 6 And when you say recouped, the customers 7 and a half --7 recoup, I don't know what you mean by that. 8 A. Yeah. 8 Q. Recoup, as in recover damages. 9 Q. -- right? 9 A. Yeah. I mean --10 A. So that was a cross-check analysis. That 10 Q. Do you understand that? 11 was not, you know, separate models. A. What is the question? I guess I'm not 11 12 We presented two methods only. Uh-huh. following the question. 12 13 Q. Right. Meaning that if customers had 13 Q. I think we've got it. 14 stayed with the utility -- I'm sorry. 14 Did you do any analysis of what other ESCOs Meaning that if -- XOOM variable-rate 15 15 charged over the same time period? customers would do better than they would have had 16 A. No. 17 they just stayed with the utility, right? 17 Q. And you don't know how XOOM's rates 18 MR. WITTELS: Objection. 18 compared to other ESCOs' rates during that time? 19 THE WITNESS: I don't understand when you 19 A. No. That's private information, so I 20 say "better off." 20 wouldn't be able to. 21 BY MR. MATTHEWS: 21 Q. What's private information? 22 Q. If -- if XOOM's variable-rate customers 22 A. I mean the other ESCOs' data was not 23 were awarded the damages that you have put forth 23 available to us, so I was not able to compare it to 24 under model one, they would be better off than if 24 the other.

Page 77

# Case 1:18-cv-02949-ARR-JAM Document 216-11 Filed 05/20/24 Page 10 of 10 PageID #: 10055

	10055	
1	COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS)	
2	SUFFOLK, SS. )	
3	I, Alexander K. Loos, RDR and Notary Public in	
4	and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, do hereby	
5	certify that there came before me on the 15th day of	
6	November, 2022, at 10:25 a.m., the person	
7	hereinbefore named, who was by me duly sworn to	
8	testify to the truth and nothing but the truth of	
9	her knowledge touching and concerning the matters in	
10	controversy in this cause; that she was thereupon	
11	examined upon her oath, and her examination reduced	
12	to typewriting under my direction; and that the	
13	deposition is a true record of the testimony given	
14	by the witness. I further certify that I am neither	
15	attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed	
16	by, any attorney or counsel employed by the parties	
17	hereto or financially interested in the action.	
18	ve hereunto set my hand	
19	al this 27th day of	
20	if this 27th day of	
21	( ) /0 /	
22		
23	Notary Public	
24	Commission expires 5/5/28	
24	Page 102	
	Steven Wittles	
2	Slw@wittelslaw.com	
3	November 28, 2022	
	RE: Mirkin vs. XOOM Energy	
6	DEPOSITION OF: Derya Eryilmaz 5544030 The above-referenced witness transcript is	
-	available for read and sign.	
8	Within the applicable timeframe, 30 days, the witness	
1	should read the testimony to verify its accuracy. If	
	there are any changes, the witness should note those	
	on the attached Errata Sheet.	
12	The witness should sign and notarize the	
	attached Errata pages and return to Veritext at	
	errata-tx@veritext.com.	
15	According to applicable rules or agreements, if	
	the witness fails to do so within the time allotted,	
17	a certified copy of the transcript may be used as if	
	signed.	
19	Yours,	
20	Veritext Legal Solutions	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	Page 103	